

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/727,612	12/01/2000	James A. Sievert	RA 5341 (USYS.023PA)	5661	
27516	7590 06/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
UNISYS CORPORATION			BULLOCK JR, LEV	BULLOCK JR, LEWIS ALEXANDER	
MS 4773					
PO BOX 6494	2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL, MN 55164-0942			2126	2	
		·	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2007	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>></i> ,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Mr. Office Action Summany	09/727,612	SIEVERT, JAMES A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lewis A. Bullock, Jr.	2126			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed inty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
•	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with description 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-15</u> is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document of t	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No.	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/727,612

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DESSLOCH (U.S. Patent 6,505,211).

As to claim 1, DESSLOCH teaches a computer-implemented method for persisting object-oriented data objects, comprising: defining a first structure type for storage of one or more data values (structured type instance); defining a plurality of objects of the structure type (ObjectStream BLOB); initializing the objects with selected data values (initializes the object); defining a first class (Java class definition) that including a static method (readSQL method) configured to convert an object of the structure type (ObjectStream BLOB) to an instance of the class (java class instance) in response to a reference to the method; and allocating memory for the objects and assigning the data values to the objects at compile-time (figure. 3A, 3B, 6A, 6B; col. 6, lines 14-58; col. 9, lines 21-49). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art that the java class derives from the structure type since it derives the attributes of the structure type.

. Application/Control Number: 09/727,612

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 16, reference is made to an apparatus that corresponds to the method of claim 1 and is therefore met by the rejection of claim 1 above.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 2-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims contain allowable subject matter for at least the following reasoning: The cited claims detail the use of trait classes having a first, second, and third public type definitions that is used by the static method of the class in order to convert an object of the structure type to an instance of the class in response to a reference to the method. The cited limitations would allow one to avoid common performance issues that would result in excess program code. The cited prior art of record does not make use of traits classes having the public type definitions that is used by the static method of the class in order to convert structure type objects to a class instance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/727,612

Art Unit: 2126

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

6/14/04

& a solen &